IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WICHITA FALLS DIVISION

SUMMIT 6 LLC,	§
Plaintiff,	§ § §
v.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:14-cv-00014
	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\
HTC CORPORATION,	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
HTC AMERICA, INC.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM	§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
USA, INC.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
APPLE INC., and	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
TWITTER INC.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Defendants.] §

PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR HTC DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiff Summit 6 LLC ("Summit 6") files this Unopposed Motion for an Extension of Time for HTC Defendants to Respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and show:

- 1. Defendant HTC America, Inc. was served with Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on February 26, 2014 and requested a sixty (60) day extension of time, up to and including May 19, 2014, to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.
- 2. Defendant HTC Corporation, a Taiwanese corporation, has not been served as of the date of this motion but has agreed to permit HTC America, Inc. to accept service of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on its behalf, if the Court grants a sixty

- (60) day extension of time, up to and including May 19, 2014, to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.
- 3. Plaintiff has agreed, subject to the Court's approval, to allow Defendants HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation a sixty (60) day extension of time, up to and including May 19, 2014, to respond in any manner whatsoever including by way of answer, motion or other pleading of any type to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.
- 4. Accordingly, Plaintiff Summit 6 LLC moves this Court to grant this unopposed request for a sixty (60) day extension of time for Defendants HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation to respond in any manner whatsoever including by way of answer, motion or other pleading of any type to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. This is the first request for an extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint sought by the parties.

PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Summit 6 LLC requests that the time for Defendants HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation to answer, move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint be extended up to and including May 19, 2014 and for such other and further relief as the Court deems suitable and just.

DATED: March 4, 2014.

Respectfully submitted, MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

By: /s/ Douglas A. Cawley Douglas A. Cawley Lead Attorney Texas State Bar No. 04035500 dcawley@mckoolsmith.com Theodore Stevenson III Texas State Bar No. 19196650 tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com Phillip M. Aurentz Texas State Bar No. 24059404 paurentz@mckoolsmith.com Ashley N. Moore Texas State Bar No. 24074748 amoore@mckoolsmith.com Mitchell R. Sibley Texas State Bar No. 24073097 msibley@mckoolsmith.com Richard A. Kamprath Texas State bar No. 24078767 rkamprath@mckoolsmith.com McKool Smith, P.C. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 978-4000 Telecopier: (214) 978-4044

Bradley W. Caldwell Texas State Bar No. 24040630 bcaldwell@caldwellcc.com Caldwell Cassady & Curry 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1000 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 888-4848

Telecopier: (214) 888-4849

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF SUMMIT 6 LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per L.R. CV-5.1 on March 4, 2014. Any other counsel of record will be served via first-class mail.

/s/ Richard A. Kamprath

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Pursuant to L.R. CV-7.1, counsel for Plaintiff has complied with the meet and confer requirements, and the foregoing motion is submitted as an unopposed motion.

_____/s/ Richard A. Kamprath